Posted: October 16, 2026

ADDENDUM NO. 1
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (RFQ #2026-007)
HISTORIC RESOURCE SURVEY
CITY OF MERCEDES, TEXAS

TO ALL PROSPECTIVE PROPONENTS:

This Addendum No. 1 forms a part of the RFP #2026-007 (Historic Resource Survey) and modifies, clarifies, and/or supplements the original RFP specifications posted on October 7, 2026.

Proponents shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 1 in their proposal submittal.

RESPONSES TO VENDOR QUESTIONS:

The following subsection hereby **clarifies questions** to the RFP specifications:

Terracon –

1. **Attachment A, CLG Contract CLG-TX-26-001, is listed at the bottom of page 6. Should a copy of this contract also be included in our response?**
   A copy of the signed CLG grant contract is not required with the proposal. Once a selection is made then that consultant/firm will be contacted to complete the appropriate CLG contract attachments.

Post Oak –

2. **Does the city anticipate that outbuildings (such as garages, sheds, etc.) will be surveyed as part of this effort? If so, will separate survey forms be required any outbuildings?**
   Yes, all historic-age outbuildings will need to be surveyed. A separate survey form is required for each resource. However, we do not anticipate many outbuildings in the survey area.

3. **Where did the figure of 1000 resources originate from? Is that the approximate number shown in the maps for Phase 1 survey area?**
   Phase 1 of the Survey Plan identifies about 1,100 properties; we are looking for proposals of a minimum of 1,000 properties with some flexibility on the final survey area for the Reconnaissance Survey based on the firm's recommendations. The maps are an approximation but not exact. They are to be used as a reference and the consultant may create their own maps.

4. **Is it anticipated that the consultant will survey every property within the identified survey boundaries or just properties with historic age resources?**
   As per THC guidelines, we do expect that all resources within the survey area will be surveyed: however, for non-historic age resources, only a minimal inventory-level of information will be required. Full survey forms will be required for all historic age resources.

5. **The RFP states that the survey area should cover "at least Phase 1 of the survey Plan". Is the consultant also anticipated to survey the resources identified for Phase 2 and/or Phase 3 as part of this project?**
   The consultant should only be concerned with the areas identified in Phase 1 as part of this project.

IES –

6. **THC Database: Is the selected consultant required to enter survey data directly into the Texas Historical Commission (THC) database, or will submission of completed survey forms and an Excel inventory satisfy this requirement?**
   The consultant is not required to enter survey data directly into the THC database. Submission of THC-compatible Survey Forms and inventory (Excel is fine) will suffice.

7. **Representative Project Examples: The RFP requests *"Representative example(s) of completed historic resources survey or similar project completed in the Rio Grande Valley (Cameron, Hidalgo, Starr, or Willacy counties) authored by the firm or project lead."* Is the City requesting samples of completed work, such as survey reports, or would project descriptions summarizing relevant experience satisfy this requirement?**
   We would like samples of completed Survey Reports. If they are available online, links to the reports would suffice in place of sending the physical document.

8. **Project Schedule: The City's RFP identifies September 1, 2028, as the deadline for final deliverables, while the CLG contract identifies September 30, 2028. Could you confirm which deadline should be used when developing the proposed project schedule?**
   The September 1, 2028 deadline allows for adequate time for the City to process the payment of final invoices required to close out the fiscal year.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1